2009 Iowa Plan RFP Bid Evaluation Scoring Tool

TECHNICAL COMPONENT

7A.2 Programmatic Overview ---- 60%

7A.2.2 Enrollees 65 and Older	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction / Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.2 Entrollees 65 and Order	Meets with Distinction Viceta
A.2.2 Did the bidder describe the experience it has in treating individuals aged 65 and	2.12413 Jesurede
older? Did the bidder describe the experience it has in treating marvidude ages of solder? Did the bidder identify other states in which coverage has been provided? If so, do the referenced examples demonstrate experience that will benefit	2 states - 1/2-Union services
 efforts to serve Iowans 65 and older? Did the bidder identify challenges and identify strategies for surmounting any identified challenges? Did the examples demonstrate a thorough understanding of the population and how to serve it? 	will go care coolding.
 If there any recommended additions to the provider network as part of the proposal intended to better serve those aged 6.5 and older, do they appear appropriate and likely to be effective? Is there a proposed transition plan to ensure the continuity of care while appealing the population into the Iowa Plan, including a communication 	there some soul-
plan? Is the communication plan sufficiently detailed and does it demonstrate an approach that is appropriate and likely to be effective?	offering - did addres
Strength Demploy staff with geri Ditealth Rassport appl	ication p.14 went popula
Company well well and the second	
Dava Comm. College	Certificate plb mication ization in commotion sed reimbursable services sed reimbursable services suited as a state for coverage but
er resoluty yasn't texas	myted as a state for coverage but in 1000+ that started there- Harring 11/2004 that with any elderly - No proof work with

are using Rural Health Center 7. Federal Qualified Health Center to provide or expand to behavioral health services work with them wild telehealth getting 2. Los sure they are

Sub-Section Score (circle one): √7A.2.3.a) Coordination and Integration of Services Fails to Meet Meets Partially Meets Meets With Distinction (Sections 4.1, 4A, 4B, and 5A of the RFP) Outlined issues with present System Here a Leconery & Lesiphened agricus 1. Did the bidder describe the strategies it would take to coordinate and integrate service delivery for each of the five types of Eligible Persons and Enrollees? ARIZ EXP. Eligible Persons with: added - 7 more categories that (1) concurrent mental health and substance abuse conditions (2) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions plus concurrent Will need coordination medical conditions - Onio (3) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions and involved with 2 comprehensue service providers the adult correctional system - $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{T}}$. (4) concurrent mental health needs and mental retardation ACHINGE. Hearth Passpull good idea for (5) mental health and/or substance abuse conditions with involvement with the child welfare/juvenile justice system) \ \ \ - Ar & J& Contither data system. 2. Are the strategies appropriate and are they likely to be effective? newders - also be optin so 3. Do they effectively embody the philosophy and program goals in that they, among con choose but touother things: emphasize honoring Eligible Persons' choice of service provider, promote the philosophy that Eligible Persons should be able to remain in their homes and communities, and demonstrate that the bidder is committed to working with all providers serving the enrollees to ensure blended and coordinated service delivery? 4. Did the bidder provide examples of its experience in other states with respect to coordination and integration of services and how it will be applied in Iowa? Is the experience relevant and likely to be beneficial to Iowa? Free Marches b. Melo amunities b.

1. Circultation to help communities p. 1. all as distants for multiples for multiples

ONE

weakness P. 22 last principal falks about weakness P. 22 last principal falks about substance wise disorders but my principal addresses of the adult of disorder properties to assess the adult of disorder properties and address the adult

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.4 Rehabilitation, Recovery, and Strength-Based Approach to Services (Sections 4.A.2 and 4.B.2 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
Does the bidder's proposal include a detailed explanation of its experience providing behavioral health services through a recovery-oriented approach?	D. Hola culture For provider
2. Does the bidder's proposal describe in detail the model it proposes to implement?	O. I dednice to employ been a
3. Does the bidder's proposal recognize the priority for effecting change during the contract period? Does the response provide details for realistic actions that the bidder intends to take during the contract period to affect change?	evenper 4 they mill low
 4. Does the response specifically identify the bidder's approach with respect to: Contractor interactions with Eligible Persons? service system planning and design? provider adoption of a rehabilitation, recovery and strength-based approach to services? 	P. 31- benchmarks for recovery audits related to recovery
5. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	

Here of secret brings and their specifical secret s

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.5 Person-Centered Care (Section 7A.2.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.5.a)	Will teain incentive - monitor
 Does the bidder's response describe the philosophy of how to best involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care? Does the description include: 	Most examples from 45
 how the bidder intends to assure that the Eligible Person and, as appropriate, family members, participate in treatment planning? descriptions of instances in which the bidder has successfully employed such strategies under other contracts? 	
3. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	
4. Do the cited examples of experience demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa?	
7A.2.5.b)	
1. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to the implementation of strategies to involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care?	

Strength - O Used term recovery oriented in philosophy

836 2 train the trainer modules

836 2 certificale program for treatment team facilitators-describe

837 3 what modules were toward e-training

4 Use contract to achieve adoption to incentives like AZ.

Training to TA

Wealtness - O Statement they recover from MT 831- again

Where is 3 abuse

2 No examples from ohid -

7A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
√7A.2.6.a)	excisting s. providers à restructure for
 Is the bidder's proposed strategy to ensure statewide capacity sufficiently detailed to understand what it intends to do? - \(\text{VC Emphasis On hig}\) Is the bidder's proposed strategy appropriate and likely to be effective? If the bidder's proposed strategy appropriate and likely to be effective? 	CSPIS P. U.Z. CONSIDER SA FU DE SPECIALITY Service
V7A.2.6.b) WORK	Open panels and mention at
1. Does the analysis include an identification of service gaps <i>and</i> the basis on which the bidder has made its determination?	TOPH W
2. Was the bidder's methodology to identify service gaps comprehensive, rigorous, and valid?	integrated crisis service delivery
3. Were any major gaps of which the evaluator is aware missed?	Principal they do sap for sall
4. Does the bidder's proposal for how the gaps would be addressed seem appropriate?	expand telehealth to psycho the art
5. Did the bidder provide a plan for addressing the gaps, with an implementation timeline?	Strates
 6. Did the bidder address the following areas in its plan in a comprehensive and informed fashion: Level I Sub-acute Facility services delivery? did address both MHd 24 hour mental health stabilization services? CSP-Incentions Substance abuse peer support/recovery coaching? Samude (do me war stoks p.44 12 other stoks p.44 1. 1. Kios with wasing homes a
7. Are the plan and timeline for addressing the service gaps appropriate and likely to be effective to enable the bidder to make all required mental health services available to the majority of Iowa Plan enrollees by the end of the second contract year?	DUB question NO access gap to psych
Wayley smined my brongers y we	
CRISIS Service Programs FUR M CECTIFICATIVE FUE DEER SUDDICT	in Stabilization (e)
certification for peer Sypon (4 ()	b)

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.6.c)	used Indiana example & why no pre-authorization
. Did the bidder describe the process by which integrated mental health services and supports will be authorized? If so, does the process appear to be appropriate and utilizing appropriately skilled staff?	Is there an ASAM certification. Don't me mant cipo cat free py-
Did the bidder provide any parameters that would be implemented to guide the authorization of integrated services and supports? If so, do the parameters appear to be appropriate?	no pre annous productives will use whitisation quidelines will use whitisation quidelines to criteria- and dientifice it.
Did the bidder provide examples of comparable past experience providing integrated mental health services and supports? If so, do the cited examples demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa?	
A.2.6.d)	Best practice committee review research
Did the bidder describe how it will incorporate evidence based practice into its management and how it will impact the services offered through the Iowa Plan?	assess weed listed some
. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	sidelity Culturally competent
A.2.6.e) Does the bidder identify any services for which it will not reimburse due to moral or	Speciality is response should not be scored. This response should not be scored.
religious grounds? • If yes, is there a complete explanation of these services?	The question is for informational purposes only)
	ed to use 3A consortium
Sin	ently Had Deen duce
	Ame petween bet in
14 DELL	

Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: number of staff? credentials and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	and the second of the second	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: • number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff appropriate of the maximize coordination with local service delivery with a staff and local delivery with a staff and local delivery with a staff and local delivery and the staff to support a staff appropriate of the conservation of the conservation of the conservation of the unit of the conservation of th	7A.2.7 Organization of Utilization Management Staff (Section 5A.1 of the RFP)	
Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: • number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are the roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery systems appropriate and likely to be effective? Experiences and likely to be effective? Changes and the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Changes and likely to be effect	19 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	(_Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: • number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize to the transfer of the different types of the proposed approach to maximize to the transfer of the transfer of the proposed approach to the transfer o		
Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: number of staff? credentials and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? roles of different types of staff? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize to o	7A.2.7.a)	Lesigned to support recovery system
including: number of staff? credentials and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? roles of different types of staff? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	1 Did the hidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff.	E. I was bout many be Not iss!
• number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	1. Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Start,	24 bost tous
credentias and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? roles of different types of staff? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery And A services of A staff which should have been included but were not?		um o. Ti
 methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery 		1 - Conterior
 methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery 		LETTERS OFFICER 10(01)
• methods to maximize coordination between UM starf and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery.	• roles of different types of staff?	1 tot the the care wow of the
methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery		= Voulladis outhers perspective
Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery And A SC TESMICES IN THE STAR STAR STAR STAR STAR STAR STAR STAR	systems: - methods to ensure continuity of LIM for Fligible Persons making frequent use of	
Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery		1 mai 0 = miledge
Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	200 000m 02 y 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	My charest alless the acide
Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	2. Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per	The Lecond of Lessing Lange 12
Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate?	Les son & Douglas & Scitu
Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery		
Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Management staff appropriate? Man	3. Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region.	0 00-11-11
Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Management staff appropriate? Management staff appropriate Management sta	·	MARTHUR CAR CEANER DSJAJI
Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery Stuff DST Stuff Stuf		100 de la contre Dased en
Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	wanagement stati appropriate:	100 Caberra 110, 21 1100, 111
Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	5. Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not?	Stuff P.37
Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery systems appropriate and likely to be effective? Explerence of the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimago to the delivery system appropriate and likely t		LICE CECNICES IN
Is the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimical Case Sordinatoes will also Find publications in Each 1500	6. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery	full ege, of MV4 30 / 2001
Is the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimical Supporting to the Scruices - Specialist too	systems appropriate and likely to be effective?	That once Pild I a latines of
use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? Chimical Case Sordine to Services - Specialist to Supportive Services - Specialist to	17 y v	Cocidial Land Are Dobuter in the
lies in the derivery system appropriate and micry to extensive or entering the supporting Supporting Services - Specialist TOD	7. Is the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent	The company of the principle
	100 11 the derivery system appropriate and many to see the way of leaf	d Supportive services - specialist TOP
12.7.6) SETUCE P. SU (medst) 3 LEF states Noted 4 all	174 27 h) > C V C V C V > 2	12 1.00 -11-0 11/20
(Meeis) 2 Gift 3 Gift	(Meels T)	1 3 01kt 3 10 10 1 d dil
Did the bidder's other clients for which it has organized UM staff to maximize	1. Did the bidder's other clients for which it has organized UM-staff to maximize	1 gitt Exhicisus 2
coordination with local service systems confirm the effectiveness of the bidder's		
performance?	performance	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP) 7A.2.8.a) 7A.2.8.a)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.a)	Quiet thes here focus or lectures
1. Do the UM Guidelines the bidder would use in authorizing mental health services appear to be appropriate?	Sugaines for fine Sources Brightness for four son leaners
2. If the bidder attached guidelines for the application of ASAM criteria, do the guidelines the bidder would use for the authorization or retrospective monitoring of substance abuse services appear to be appropriate?	9.57
7A.2.8.b)	UR review avoil 2417
1. Did the bidder describe how UM Guidelines would generally be applied to authorize or retrospectively review services?	Moleniem of Montacility - Betworld
2. Did the bidder address how it would both manage the appropriateness of treatment duration and also manage potentially high volumes of service requests?	Gave étambles et charse in
3. Does the approach to outpatient service authorization address management of appropriateness review in a manner likely to be efficient and effective?— NO	meebwith d
7A.2.8.c)	The bush I
1. Did the bidder discuss special issues in applying the guidelines for at least some of the following services and populations:	emphasized Family invol with PMIC 4 111 Att providers assess for mit
 i. substance abuse services for pregnant and parenting women? ii. substance abuse services provided to Enrollees in PMICs? iii. mental health inpatient services provided to Enrollee children in state mental health institutes? 	talked about recovery principal
iv. Eligible Persons with concurrent need for both mental health and substance abuse treatment? v. Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)?	didn't relly addres parenting
 If so, does the bidder appear to have a thorough understanding of what special issues might arise and of how to address them? Were there any issues the evaluator felt should be addressed that were omitted? 	dido't godress attactive
	Company of the second of the s

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.d)	avcent For hospitals of other facility
1. Did the bidder list any services or levels of care for which prior authorization would not be required? \(\) \	except For hospitals of other Facility providers won't require phospital to day tx - reason to achein greater service of core
2. Do the levels of care for which the bidder has indicated it won't require prior authorization appear to be appropriate, given both access to care and cost management objectives?	LEWINS ESAM NOWS
3. Did the bidder describe a QI-related circumstance that would lead the bidder to request state approval for prior authorization? 4. Does the prior authorization circumstance demonstrate experience and knowledge? Does the quality improvement circumstance example align with care and cost management objectives?	God exempte tisted a 1st note gove the only ones isted are CSP & common H isted are CSP & common H centers what about sa centers
7A.2.8.e)	with use noiver of privar
1. Did the bidder describe how it would self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of UM authorization processes?	C 1, thocize time
2. Does the bidder's proposal to self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of the authorization processes rely upon robust and meaningful measurement of performance?	Tout at regioned date of shore
3. Did the bidder describe circumstances under which it might waive prospective review requirements for certain providers?	didn't say what threshow
4. Does the bidder's description of circumstances under which prospective utilization review might be waived for certain providers demonstrate a well-reasoned approach to balancing appropriate utilization management with limiting administrative requirements of providers?	
12 53 6112 - 6612 - 670	Sand many
to help in discharge Bland	11 Defense)

7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.f)	addressed psycho pec only for
Did the bidder describe how it would operationalize the state's concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need"?	addressed psycho wec only for sa not addressed for
2. Did the description contrast the proposed approach with that used for "medical necessity" under other contracts, or if not applicable, explain how the concepts different to the concepts of the concepts of the concepts different to the concepts diffe	
3. Does the bidder's approach for operationalizing the state's concept of "psychosocia necessity" in the authorization process for mental health services align with the state's objectives, as put forth in Section 5A.3.1 of the RFP?	1377 teated 120, 200, 201
2. Did the bidder's distinction between "medical necessity" and the concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need convey a good understanding of how tapproaches differ?	he yes. but mainly for sq
7A.2.8.g) 1. Did the bidder describe the process the bidder would implement for the administrative authorization of services (when contractual requirements mandate	the Yes-Just Nigher levels
authorization and reimbursement for services that do not fall within the contractor UM guidelines)?	<u> </u>
Does the process the bidder proposes for implementing the administrative authorization of services appear to be appropriate?	retro t telephonic.
3. Did the bidder include in its description the way in which the bidder would allow for authorization for services provided during all the months of enrollment even i Medicaid eligibility is determined after the initiation of services?	PRIVES Claim held fill engine
4. Does it appear that this process treats providers fairly and will be effective?	wast gove mything truly of SCLOW
	ENW DENIGE

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.h)	COCKS 112+Ed
1. Did the bidder describe how it would provide Intensive Clinical Management to certain Iowa Plan Enrollees, and the relationship of those activities to Targeted Case Management?	admission critaria
Does the bidder's process for providing Intensive Clinical Management appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	Recovery Intergrated Health passpult used to exchange Information best adapted to Meet geographic needs Crisis Management centralized to
3. Is the bidder's proposed relationship of Intensive Clinical Management and Targeted Case Management appropriate and likely to be effective?	Health pessout used to exchange
7A.2.8.i) (meets) (). (5	Tocally Focussed & adopted to
1. Did the bidder describe how it would provide 24 hour crisis management?	meet geographic needs
2. Is the bidder's proposed approach to provision of 24-hour crisis management reflective of the current state of that service in Iowa, appropriate, and likely to be	Utilize speciality stati accini
effective?	Says they will be I wa licensed
3. Did the bidder provide examples of how that service has been provided in other states? Mentions AZ & Health presput	Lacin Screen Tool
4. Do the bidder's examples demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	to CSP with expend on crisis. management tou & have other
	orisis services there
	lace or cappageting.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning (Sections 1.9, 4B.2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.9.a)	The same of the same
1. Did the bidder describe the 24-hour crisis and referral service that the Bidder would	mobile crisis feams in perturbation
make available to Eligible Persons, including:	with Others - with OHS
l	white my without
providing mental health and substance abuse services to children?	orthough exit made mad mithous
• how the 24-hour crisis and referral service would interface with the emergency	Late Management Comment
crisis service system?	Don't understand how It ?
F 3000 F DULLO MICHAE	
2. Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service utilizes	Marsethatse for the salvile
appropriately trained staff?	0011 700 11100
a series and neferral corrigio arould provide	Use Costs line too
3. Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service would provide sufficient access to clinicians with child mental health and substance abuse expertise?	
sufficient access to childclaris with critic mental health and additional and a sufficient access to childclaris with critic mental health and a sufficient access to childclaris with critical mental health and a sufficient access to childclaris with critical mental health and a sufficient access to childclaris with critical mental health and a sufficient access to childclaris with critical mental mental health and a sufficient access to childclaris with critical mental ment	stoft trained,
2. Does the bidder's response depict a process that would ensure that the 24-hour crisis	Screening tout
and referral service appropriately and effectively interfaces with the emergency crisis	12
and referral service appropriately and effectively interfaces with the emergency erisis service system?	
V7A.2.9.b) P. 68 meets with	they lack they are an ar
1. Did the bidder describe a process for identifying those Eligible Persons who have	determination to Ofter ICIII
demonstrated the need for a high level of services or who are at risk of high	SCOSE System which included
utilization of services? I div Orsis No Trup	Vista Chiteria Idica
7 EV VIETE	Co-occur 4 children tions D. 69
2. Does the bidder's process for identifying those Eligible Persons appear to capture all	
of those in need of individual service coordination and treatment planning in a	Moreson
timely and efficient manner?	
3. Did the bidder describe how it would initiate ongoing treatment planning and	recwery system descess addressed family fracess addressed family faring voices
3. Did the bidder describe how it would initiate ongoing treatment planting and coordination with the Iowa Plan Eligible Persons and all others appropriate for	16,000 63300 1 (-1-10000)
planning the Eligible Person's treatment?	
	10 - 111 Michilania 200
4. Does the bidder's process for initiating ongoing treatment planning and coordination	
appear to be appropriate and likely to be effective?	Le cines of

The second secon	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning (Sections 1.9, 4B2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)	Meets with distinction of theets Tariany facts
7A.2.9.c)	unperent ou succession business.
1. Did the bidder describe the program the bidder would implement in conjunction with officers of the courts to assure that court-ordered treatment complies with substance abuse criteria and therefore is reimbursable through the Iowa Plan?	Texas
2. Does the bidder's proposed program appear appropriate and likely to succeed?	training to control the recovery processes training - use regime!
 Did the bidder describe a process for actively promoting and ensuring coordination by Iowa Plan network providers with Enrollees' primary care physicians? Is the proposed process for promoting and ensuring coordination appropriate and likely to be effective? Did the bidder describe how it would assess network provider compliance with the care coordination requirements? 	Health Basquet into across disciplines & pawders - Texes 6120 physic. health providers execulte optin & maintain control
 Is the proposed process for ensuring compliance, inclusive of any measurement and reporting activities, appropriate and likely to be effective? Did the bidder provide results of monitoring efforts conducted for other clients to verify that coordination had been occurring effectively? Do the bidder's examples of monitoring efforts document an effective process? Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting and ensuring coordination by network providers and primary care physicians? 	primary care phy get discharge plan example Grun En example Grun En

√7A.2.10 Children in Transition (Section 5A.6.1 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.10.a) Did the bidder provide comprehensive and detailed descriptions of experience transitioning children from inpatient settings, including specific examples of hospital and PMIC-like entities? Did the bidder provide successful strategies for putting in place effective discharge placement from such settings? Does the bidder's described experience demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? 	training model emphasized recovery model emphasized providers required to emply consumers to recovery Step dun important by Need Services to step down - will address truse gaps
Caran Hot States	Intensive Chinical Management For all children guing to use model in lexas for Ima Showed automas
	ASE Crisis plan & safety Measures les part de disampter Weekly placement mts. What successful stratesy Than apply to two

7A.2.11 Appeal Process (Section 5B.2 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.11.a)	expediated within 24 hrs
Did the bidder describe a process and provide an accompanying flowchart for the review of Enrollee appeals?	Mile contracted interpreter seeme
2. Does the flowchart provide timeframes from receipt of the request, and through each review phase, up to notification?	1156 Tice of Owbydower prospen
3. Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.2 of the RFP, including the following and other requirements:	Use of Deer Reviewer with no decision
provision of written notice acknowledging the receipt of a request for review and reasonable assistance with filing appeals, if requested?	usecoms for tracking p.79
100% of all expedited appeals will be resolved within 3 working days of receipt of an appeal. All non-expedited appeals shall be resolved within 14 days of the receipt of the appeal and 100% shall be resolved within 45 days of the receipt of the appeal?	24 hrs with expiedited - Macys NUR estate rep not puted 5829
provision of a written notice of disposition that includes the requirements	
outlined in 5B.2.11 of the RFP?	

7A.2.12 Grievance and	d Complaint Process (Sections 5B.1, 5B.3 and 5B.4 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	escribe the processes it would put in place for the review of ces and Eligible Persons complaints?	Desparance bestern Log
	rocess consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.3 of g the following and other requirements:	0,150 Jook et 10 0588 ell
up in writing regarding tre	their designees may initiate a grievance either orally, to be followed; or just in writing; complaints from DPH-eligible participants eatment programs will be directed to DPH? WINDE TO PHOWN WOT PROSTOWN SWEET WRITE AND PHOSTOWN SWEET WRITE WRITE AND WOT PROSTOWN SWEET WRITE AND WITH THE RESERVENCE.	
	decisions in writing with notice of right to additional review and on the process to initiate additional review?	
of all require	mplaints and grievances shall be resolved within 14 days of receipt d documentation and 100% shall be resolved within 90 days of the required documentation?	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.13.a)	ensure continuity of core for
 Did the bidder describe how it would ensure that the provider network is adequate and that access is maintained or increased to meet the needs of Iowa Plan Eligible Persons? 	elsibles at staff. Multiple tobles for gaps include consumers
 Does the proposed approach to ensuring an adequate provider network and access appear appropriate and likely to be effective? 	recenit I a licensed psychiatrists vocated outside to for teleherth
3. Did the bidder identify where there are potential issues of lack of capacity within the Bidder's network, and steps it would take to increase capacity?	P-89
4. Are the identified potential issues reflective of the current Iowa service system?	Comp service front a weekend sport of sportish
5. Are the proposed steps to increase capacity appropriate and likely to be effective?	Service - (Evening & weeken the right
6. Did the bidder provide examples from current contracts of how it has ensured network adequacy in states with a shortage of psychiatrists or other specific behavioral health professionals?	Certification for been subbut to
7. Do the bidder's examples from other states demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	arancam
 7A.2.13.b) Did the bidder describe proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities, including, but not limited to, for: 	Federal Qual Health Centers & RHC to see Federal Qual Health - consider expand Serve as telehealth - consider expand toobsychotherapy services incentive 8 thank have base services incentive PMYR program reduce use of psychotrol PMYR program reduce use of psychotrol
 the use of telehealth and distance treatment options? provision of child psychiatric consultation services to primary care clinicians? アとていう のよう ちょうと より そく しんとんしゃ もの めた 2. Do the bidder's proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities 	Laric Medications to Leaner
appear likely to result in improved access?	April nie der sbertie to Leaner?
•	H 5

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)		Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.13.c)	theets	V douglus markforce for
Did the bidder describe its experience un services to underserved communities wh found to be inadequate?	nder other contracts to ensure delivery of nen provider network capacity was initially	Kansas = develop workforce for Recovery AZ - AZ Nurse home
Did the bidder's description of experience underserved communities in states when demonstrate effectiveness?	ce addressing initial network inadequacy for re there was a shortage of psychiatrists	Texas telehealth
3. Did the bidder's references provide conf past performance with respect to addres underserved communities?		
√7A.2.13.d)	(P84) (mets	described what had done in
health programs in which it successfully		172 - Octobre Deer Welpt 1
psychiatric rehabilitation services? mental health self-help and peer su	The converge of the converge o	develop curricaltum & train
• mental health self-help and peel su • peer education services? A Z	pport groups.	Legister ungel
Does the bidder's description document development of these three services and	t its experience and success promoting the lambda making them available to enrollees?	L'ainer mudel did use s abuse example
nest performance with respect to promo	firmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's oting the development of and implementing tal health self-help and peer support groups,	P. 40

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	
(Q_{ij})	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.13.e)	AZ - allocate high visk funds to
Did the bidder describe its experience with contracts that include SAPT Block Grant funding?	AZ - allorate high risk funds to select providers Each provider gets SAPT Fund for Dervices - train
2. Does the bidder's description demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The present to EXPIER CARE	had at covery 3000103
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to contract with provides for services funded by an SAPT Block Grant?	monthly SAPT meeting p. al 17.5Deate Cuncil evidence based prod
7A.2.13.f) 1. Did the bidder describe its experience contracting with networks of comparable or greater size than those of the Iowa Plan within the timeframe afforded by this procurement?	gigut geripe extriciones
Does the bidder's description demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	ond seq accustomed to duns in
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to timely network contracting?	Emunthe

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2	.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction () Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2	14.a)	rietwork management plan 13
	Did the bidder describe how it would actively manage quality of care provided by network providers of all covered service, including the Bidder's proposed methodology for conducting provider profiling and utilizing the profiles to generate quality improvement?	vetwork management plan is Foundation for recovery of system profile-audit-monitor-train website train focus on Recurry feedback form focus on Recurry
	Does the content of provider profile reports for providers of child inpatient mental health services, providers of adult outpatient mental health services, and providers of Level II substance abuse services, appear to adequately capture the critical elements of the performance of each of those providers?	Cimical Fact sheet p. 93 Watsure profite of partidas p. 94 Weed More indicator for JpPH-
l	Do the reports contain indicators for performance which address clinical quality, access, utilization management, linkage with primary care physicians, and enrolled satisfaction, at a minimum?	
	Are the sample report content descriptions missing any major areas of provider performance one would expect to see in the report?	For quality care p. 96
5.	Is the timing of report distribution proposed by the bidder frequent enough to ensure	
6.	that all provider and service types will be profiled and will receive reports at least quarterly? Did the bidder describe explicitly how the bidder would interact with each provider following the distribution of each profile report?	Share service incentives with providers. B 88
7.	Does the bidder's proposed approach for generating and facilitating improvement in the performance of each profiled provider seem like it will be effective?—C\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Penalties Reucid by becoming professed
8.	Does the bidder's proposed approach include interactive communication between bidder staff and providers in which feedback is shared? $\cup \in \cup $	DENIGES.
9.	Did the bidder indicate how it would periodically assess provider progress on its implementation of strategies to attain improvement goals?	115- West
10.	Did the bidder adequately describe its process for identifying areas of improvement with providers and setting improvement goals for priority areas in which provider performance falls below acceptable or benchmark levels?	17 - S

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.14.a) (continued)	
11. Did the bidder describe a process of frequent reassessment of provider performance on improvement goals, including face-to-face meetings with appropriately qualified bidder staff? Does it appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	phone 1 Face to face
12. Did the bidder provide examples for how provider profiling has been utilized to improve service delivery? Does the approach appear to have resulted in measurable quality improvement?	- no examples here but in
13. Did the bidder describe how it intended to reward providers that demonstrate continued excellence or dramatic improvement in performance over time and how the bidder would share "best practice" methods or programs with providers of similar programs in its network?	
14. Did the bidder describe how it intended to penalize providers that demonstrate continued unacceptable performance or performance that does not improve over time?	
15. Does the proposed use of rewards and penalties appear appropriate and meaningful	
for network providers?	1 Luch training
16. Are the proposed methods for sharing best practices likely to support replication by other network providers?	Clinical Fact Sheet web training Clinical Record Feedback Sheet

PRES.OF

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction (Meets) Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.14.b)	
1. Did the bidder provide a description of how network management activities performed for other state clients that are comparable to those described in Section 5C.5?	Crofile 4 and 142
Did the description convincingly convey that the bidder has effectively operated comparable network management activities for state clients?	Pase 99 antiones for GA
7A.2.14.c) (ree) 10 While take.	Mone for AZ
1. Did the bidder provide copies of provider profiles employed for two clients?	Shwed automes on officiency
2. Do the profiles demonstrate the bidder's experience and capacity to generate the type of provider profiles required by this RFP?	Shwed automes on officiency by mætins levels plot Unio Indiang = put in pref. provider Status - save admin time
3. Did the bidder describe measurable performance improvement that resulted from the provider profiles?	Status - Sene admin Till
4. Is the bidder's demonstration of improvement resulting from the use of provider	·
profiles credible and significant?	
7A.2.14.d) (Meets with	Contract requirement
1. The bidder describe how it would assure the accuracy of ISMART data-submitted by the providers of substance abuse services comprehensive?	confect requirement manthy trainings confect claims data & against
2. Is the proposed plan appropriate and likely to be effective?	approperat

Bic	Bidder Name:		
4	A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program Section 5D RFP)	Sub-Section-Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet	
7A.	2.15.a)	3- byllet I actually early premises	
1.	Did the bidder describe experience in using data-driven evaluation of organization- wide initiatives to improve the health status of covered populations?	For miline & urgent anot.	
2.	Does the bidder possess meaningful, successful experience in using data-driven evaluation of organization-wide initiatives to improve the health status of populations?	Some contint of penalty Some predest. 36/16/2 - 100/2 at pear sorvices - NO	
	 mental health quality – process measures – CCC SS substance abuse quality – process measures – COCC – Why. mental health quality – functional or clinical outcome measures – CONCOCC – Why. substance abuse quality – functional or clinical outcome measures – SW – mental health quality – consumer-reported outcome measures – substance abuse quality – consumer-reported outcome measures – substance abuse quality – consumer-reported outcome measures – substance abuse quality – consumer-reported outcome measures – but the bidder's references confirm the bidder's effectiveness generating statistically 	3 byllet 3- per & post - abyldren get an incentive to extreme apply NO	
	significant improvement in population health status?		
7A 1.	Did the bidder describe its experience implementing instruments in publicly funded managed care programs that assess changes in functional status and/or recovery?	have used adult, child tyuth surey pred post out comes stated what did other pesults	
2.	Did the bidder's description specify tools, populations, sample sizes, findings, and how the bidder acted upon it findings?	Stated what are sill allow compere	

3. Does the bidder's demonstrated experience indicate its capacity to implement such instruments in Iowa, and to make good use of the findings?

23

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
√7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program	Meets With Distinction / Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
(Section 5D RFP)	Wieels will Distriction (Wieels 1 artisary weeks 1 arts to week
7A.2.15.c)	Remery Polyson Committee inout
Does the bidder describe an array of different methods by which consumers and family members would be proactively engaged by the bidder in the Quality	Recovery Polishay Commidde Month
Assessment and Performance Improvement program? Possible techniques that the bidder might have cited include:	Other Quenues Oficuance, Consymer Survey - Focus Grap - Com Fastum)
adding consumers and family members to bidder-sponsored quality improvement teams;	Survey - Focus SEON - COM LOSTANO
using advisory groups or focus groups to advise the identification and design of possible improvement projects, and	1 11 -40en
 using surveys to elicit consumer and family members suggestions and/or feedback. 	Forms For any discussion 1880
2. Does it appear that consumers and family members would have a substantive role bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program based on	path consumer of family
the bidder's response?	BOTH CONSUME OF FORMING
7A.2.15.d)	desdoped our system- use in
1. Did the bidder describe how it would use pharmacy data to improve quality,	
including to:	16/a>
identify utilization that deviates from clinical practice guidelines for schizophrenia and major depression, and	pred post data outcomes p13
identify those Enrollees whose utilization of controlled substances warrants	Can midify to set what
intervention either because of multiple prescribers, excessive quantities or prescribing that is inconsistent with the clinical profile of the Enrollee.	Car Month 10 Do. 2010.
	Tima //sea?
2. Does the bidder's description demonstrate a good understanding of the use of pharmacy data for quality improvement and seem likely to be effective?	

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):
	A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A	2.15.e)	1 5 Caribit
1.	Did the bidder describe its identification of the greatest opportunities for quality	Leconary or ion for system the
	improvement in public managed behavioral health programs like the Iowa Plan?	recovery oriented system primits Physical-mental-Sc integration
2.	Does the bidder's description of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement indicate a profound understanding of public sector behavioral health programs?	COP two For each Regard
3.	Are the opportunities consistent with what the Evaluator might identify as high priority opportunities?	only about on I persony
4.	Are the quality improvement approaches described likely to result in improved function and well being for enrollees?	pupulation-
5.	Did the bidder describe approaches to realize two such opportunities in Iowa?	Health Essout capture entire
6.	Are the proposed approaches appropriate and likely to be effective?	
7A	2.15.f)	
1.	Did the bidder describe experience adapting policy or procedures based on input from publicly funded consumers and advocacy groups?	11sted 4 changes including
2.	Did the bidder convincingly document that these efforts have had a measurable beneficial impact on its members?	Lee Cultural Macro Jaggar
3.	Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has used consumer and advocate input to shape policy and procedure and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	hure comm mgs. didn't really talk abut impat
		Employ consumers phy 7 115th what they will Charese

25

√7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Did the bidder describe the process by which the Bidder would conduct retrospective monitoring of all substance abuse service providers in accordance with Section 5.D.1.2? Does the description include: The source of the evaluation tool with which the bidder would assess the appropriateness of clinical services delivered? What actions the bidder would propose to take with a provider who it has determined does not deliver services or follow contract guidelines appropriately, both in the event of an initial finding and of a repeated finding? 	Rement of the Deriver and Legistra Control of the Country of Legistra Control of the Country of
 3. Does the proposed process appear appropriate and likely to be effective? 7A.2.15.g) 1. Did the bidder provide a copy of a 2008 QA plan that the bidder developed for a publicly funded client? 	Example includ Lawin 2 abbat 4 books Low combinance - letter - DE, zine rayed Les month of Contract Les month
Does the QA plan depict a comprehensive, well-designed approach to quality assurance and performance improvement?	badiff committees, meet monthly

7A	.2.16 Prevention and Early Intervention (Section 4A.4.2 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score-(circle one):
	$(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}$	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
1.	Did the bidder describe the strategy that it will invoke in order to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services?	USESPE Model
2.	Is the strategy appropriate and likely to be effective?	bien cours coopyages of
3.	Did the bidder describe its experience in implementing such strategies under other contracts?	Priga West Dien - barrega
4.	If so, do the other programs appear to be well conceived?	ablessin Aner of
5.	Was the bidder able to demonstrate that the programs had measurably affected changes improvements in access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services?	use CSP as clearing Muse
6.	Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has successfully implemented strategies to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services and that this work has been accessable increase access to a service and that this work has been accessable increase and that this work has been accessable increase.	needed 4 is diplicative and
L	services and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	30 9 suicide anoverese and
		105 B118 500 years
		Jee Hrea Bencies & Mada
		Ofter HC
	Ambassadur Program &	It a CSIAP Service to
	Science and	a d
	M d m e s	

27

	C. C. C. C. DED	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
/A.	.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7 A .		House DESSOIL SUPPORT CONSUMER
1.	Did the bidder describe in detail the management information system the Bidder would implement for the Iowa Plan?	9199 LECORY Oriented approach
2.	Did the description emphasize the way in which the MIS system would function to gather required data and produce required reports as well as providing detail on hardware capabilities?	Cholds H history on time Brigger 6193
3.	Does the bidder's response address all of the other requirements of Section 6.4 of the RFP?	1 6.100
7A.	2.17.b)	Eligibles and upload documents too
1.	Did the bidder describe adaptations to its MIS which would be made to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided even if the Enrollee's Medicaid eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective date were determined subsequent to the Eligible Person's month of application?	Help Dest support - Starts west Albert Sing Reports to by M. End Recorded Con Est EFT payment Sing Man enhanded provides System Sing Manual Manual Control of the Control of t
2.	Do the bidder's proposed adaptations to its MIS to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided to enrollees whose eligibility and Iowa Plan	Mon ouverges bronges show
	enrollment effective dates were determined subsequent to their month of application appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	13C
7A.	2.17.c)	C. + DOHA Medicaid
	Did the bidder describe an adequate process to ensure appropriate allocation of reimbursement when:	Stepher Medicard within computer seperate division within computer a duplicate enrollment system verifies
	 i. services are being provided to a person who was a Medicaid enrollee and whose Medicaid eligibility terminated and the person then, during the same treatment episode, became a IDPH participant/ 	3ystem verities
***************************************	ii. services are being provided to a person who was a IDPH participant receiving services and, during the same treatment episode, became a Medicaid enrollee/	
2.	Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to provide a management information system that meets the business needs of other publicly funded programs that are comparable to the Iowa Plan?	

7. Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as follows: 1) an Insolvency Protection Account, that must contain at all times, an amount equal to two (2) months of the anticipated annual Medicaid capitation amount; 2) a Surplus Fund, in an amount equal to one and a half times the Contractor's average monthly Medicaid capitation payment; and 3) Working Capital in the form of cash or equivalent liquid assets equal to at least three months' operating expenses. 2. Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required? - With the contractor's payment appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments of Section 6.6 of the RFP and appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments?	7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	 Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as follows: an Insolvency Protection Account, that must contain at all times, an amount equal to two (2) months of the anticipated annual Medicaid capitation amount; a Surplus Fund, in an amount equal to one and a half times the Contractor's average monthly Medicaid capitation payment; and Working Capital in the form of cash or equivalent liquid assets equal to at least three months' operating expenses. Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required? — Wheat is the Cost	Competitive bid for banks competitive bid for banks doesn't state time 2713-Rep these are same acounts hard accord tell if amount is correct oil accord does say surplus fund of 150% does say surplus fund of 150% tell if amount is correct oil accord tell if amount is correct oil accord to the same acounts have at

7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Dis the bidder demonstrate that its organization is financially sound? Do the bidder's financial statements and those of any corporate parent support its claims? If the bidder is not financially sound, has it taken corrective measures to address and resolve any identified financial problems? Are these measures likely to be successful? Does the bidder attach the most recent two years of independently certified audited financial statements of the bidder's organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? Did the bidder provide its most recent three (3) years of independently certified audited financial statements of its organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? Do the audited statements reveal any financial problems, legal liabilities, or relevant corporate relationships that the bidder has not mentioned or that raise concern regarding 	constico not reg. Cash infusion From parent parent company quarante corpetio no indep fin statements, but parent co statements, but parent co state in See Where they had wallstand any contracts in last
financial stability, legal liability or corporate interests? 7A.2.18.c) 1. Did the bidder discuss what impact the recent declines in the stock market have had on the Bidder's financial stability, how the Bidder has responded, and any implications for the Bidder's ability to meet the requirements of this RFP? 2. Did the bidder demonstrate that recent stock market declines have not put in jeopardy the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP, including the maintenance of necessary liquidity?	Stated Minimal 10585 Jessether 1900 diversified pointfolio

Bi	dder Name: Cenpatico	
	2.19 Claims Payment by the Contractor (Section 6.7 of the RFP)	Sab-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A	2.19.a) R 139	3 layers quality control & testing
1.	Did the bidder describe the process it would implement to ensure compliance with the required time frames for claims processing?	oldest claims les or queux daily monitor by supervisor internal claims dept also perneus
2.	Is the process consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 6.7 of the RFP?	internal claims dopt also Reviews
3.	Does the process the bidder would implement to ensure the bidder's compliance with the required time frames for claims processing appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	Support service-monthly red meds meds
7A	2.19.b) Rect	maritars 100% of claims for wear
1.	Did the bidder describe its experience implementing contracts in which the claims payment process supported the accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of operations?	market dearty showed what they did to improve
2.	Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to successfully implement accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of comparable contracts?	exceed in lexes showed blumbers acknowledged issues - why 4 how
	Coposis Chime mithing	46.510
,	electronic own portal of chermuse South in Staffing or wearther 9090 within 30 days Mer 9090 within 30 days Mer	problem to help
	don't see 10090 to thing dos AMINS at 1188 CMS Holling	The state of the s

7A.2.20	Fraud and Abuse (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.20	a)	
1.	Did the bidder describe how it will comply with the Departments' Fraud and Abuse requirements?	
2.	Did the bidder provide examples of how its internal controls successfully work to prevent Fraud and Abuse?	
3.	Did the description completely address the requirements as defined within Section 6.8?	
4.	Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	

Plan include education/training, but line, investigation, prevention

Bidder Name:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience 15% his section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 15 pages. oes it exceed? Y/N?		
7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience (Section 6.8 of the	・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	
 Did the bidder provide the following information on all curr managed behavioral health care contracts? i. contract size: average monthly covered lives and at ii. contract start date and duration; iii. general description of covered population and serv AFDC + SSI, state-only population, mental health, shospital, etc.); iv. the company or agency name and address, and v. a contact person and telephone number? 	nnual revenues; ices (e.g., Medicaid CESCAT A STERIOR AND	
Does the information indicate that the bidder has experience comparable in size and scope to the Iowa Plan?	with contracts that are	

3. Did the bidder include letters of support or endorsement from any individual, organization, agency, interest group or other entity despite the prohibition in the RFP from doing so?

7A.3.1 Organizational Information \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Sub Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Does the bidder provide all of the following (as required by the RFP)? lists and organizational charts showing any and all owners, voting and nonvoting members of the Board of Directors, officers and executive management staff, including CEO, COO, CFO, Medical Director, UM Director, QM Director and MIS Director or equivalent functional personnel? the curriculum vitae for the aforementioned executive management staff? if the bidder is a wholly or partly owned subsidiary or partnership, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its parent(s) and any other related organizations? an organizational chart depicting the bidder in relation to the corporations to which it is a subsidiary or partner? if the bidder has subsidiaries, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its subsidiaries? an organizational chart depicting any subsidiaries in relation to the bidder? 	information there
 Are any key positions vacant? Do senior officers appear to be appropriately qualified? Are there any apparent corporate relationships that would introduce a conflict of interest if the bidder were awarded the contract? If the bidder is a subsidiary or partnership, are the parent corporations or partners engaged in business activities that are complimentary to, and likely to provide long term support to, the bidder? If the organization is a partnership, is the line of authority clearly delineated? 	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.3.2 Disclosure of Financial or Related Party Interest	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.3.2.a)	
Does the bidder disclose any legal, financial, contractual or related party interests which the bidder(s) shares with any provider or group of providers, or provide a statement of no financial or related party interest?	Mone
7A.3.2.b) (MCe\S)	
1. Does the bidder (and if the bid involves a partnership or another type of joint venture, any of the bidders) share a financial or related party interest in any provider or group of providers, does the bidder set forth a mechanism by which it proposes to prevent any preferential treatment to those entities with which it shares a financial or related party interest?	MONE
2. If the response to #1, above, is affirmative, does this mechanism effectively prevent preferential treatment to those provider entities in which it shares a financial or related party interest?	
3. Is it likely that the bidder's mechanism will prevent the following situations which might indicate an attempt to ensure financial gain (from RFP Section 5C.3):	
 a change of the distribution of referrals or reimbursement among providers within a level of care? referral by the Contractor to only those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? preferential financial arrangements by the Contractor with those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? different requirements for credentialing, privileging, profiling or other network management strategies for those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? distribution of community reimbursement moneys in a way which gives preference to providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? substantiated complaints by enrollees of limitations on their access to participating providers of their choice within an approved level of care? 	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a)	
(A.5.5.a)	1000
1. As far as the evaluator is aware, did the bidder disclose all relevant information in	NON JOIM WHELE
response to the following RFP questions and requirements or make a statement that	MU default
there is no applicable information (as required by the RFP)?	WO Cotay f
During the last five years, has the bidder or any subcontractor identified in	La Salara I.
this proposal had a contract for services terminated for convenience, non-	d 1 7:0 for one de los
performance, non-allocation of funds, or any other reason for which	1 Novice to care
termination occurred before completion of all obligations under the initial contract provisions? If so, provide full details related to the termination.	3 Fine direct to them
During the last five years, has the bidder been subject to default or received	- FILENDES
notice of default or failure to perform on a contract? If so, provide full	J FINES DESS 10 Pr
details related to the default including the other party's name, address, and	7 volice to are destrationed of Fines pass to provider
telephone number.	
During the last five years, describe any damages, penalties, disincentives	
assessed or payments withheld, or anything of value traded or given up by	
the bidder under any of its existing or past contracts as it relates to services performed that are similar to the services contemplated by the RFP and the	
resulting Contract. Indicate the reason for and the estimated cost of that	
incident to the bidder.	
During the last five years, list and summarize pending or threatened	
litigation, administrative or regulatory proceedings, or similar matters that	·
could affect the ability of the Bidder to perform the services contemplated in	
this RFP. • During the last five years, have any irregularities been discovered in any of	
the accounts maintained by the Bidder on behalf of others? If so, describe	
the circumstances of irregularities or variances and disposition of resolving	
the irregularities or variances.	
The bidder shall also state whether it or any owners, officers, primary	
partners, staff providing services or any owners, officers, primary partners,	
or staff providing services of any subcontractor who may be involved with	
providing the services contemplated in this RFP, have ever had a founded child or dependent adult abuse report, or been convicted of a felony.	
Citied of dependent addit abuse report, of been convicted of a felolity.	

7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a) (continued)	
2. If the bidder disclosed that it, or one of its subcontractors, had defaulted on a contract or had a contract terminated for cause, and the project contact person was contacted, what was the explanation given for the problem and does it raise concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor?	Bergal
3. If the bidder disclosed that, during the previous five years, legal action was taken against the bidder or if any legal actions are pending, does the explanation and status update provided by the bidder alleviate any concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor?	
4. If the bidder's current corporate configuration is related to mergers, did the bidder provide the requisite responses to the questions above for all components of the merged entities (as required)?	

Bidder Name:	Cenpatico	
	0 100 00	4 E 0 /

7A.4 Project Organization and Staffing - 15%
This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 10 pages.

Does it exceed? YIN2

7A.4.1 Organizational Chart	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
Did the bidder provide an organizational chart that demonstrates:			
2. Does the proposed reporting relationship between staff assigned to the Iowa Plan and other parts of the bidder's corporate structure appear appropriate and likely to be effective? Does it appear that the Iowa Plan-assigned staff will receive sufficient corporate attention and support?			

7A.4.2 Chart or Other Presentation	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) every position which would be working on the Iowa Plan? b) the name and qualifications of the proposed Iowa-based individual who would have management responsibility for Iowa Plan operations? c) the reporting relationships between those positions? d) the credentials required of individuals to be hired for each clinical and management position? e) the office locations of each individual? Do the types and numbers of staff to be assigned to the Iowa Plan appear to be sufficient in number and have the appropriate credentials? 	Record Adistry Rosition Grant write 93- In prosed-Some Pool 730 minus 7 Texas. (management)
3. Are adequate resources dedicated to serving DPH Participants?	did cilly job in language
4. Is the staffing distributed appropriately given the allowable distribution of administrative costs to each funding stream (i.e., Medicaid 13.5% or less; DPH, 3.5% or less)?	Course J Rosti liency
5. Are the UM, QA, claims and systems senior management positions appropriately qualified and reporting at an appropriately senior level of the organization?	also recare Knuledse In

ED-BA degree

Nuality A - 240s in cultural competency in

I was

Expirence either or MH JSA

expirence either or MH JSA

onth buth SA John Comm Resources

Bidder Name:	Cenpa	+icd

7A.	4.3 Chart or Other Presentation	Meets	Sub-	-Section Score (circle one): Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
The state of the s	Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) the subcontractors (excluding network providers) who would be working on the Iowa Plan? b) the responsibilities of those subcontractors? c) special skills of those subcontractors? d) the location of the office of each subcontractor from which they will provide their subcontracted services?	2	listed	
2.	If there is more than one subcontractor, does the number of subcontractors appear to be too large or to potentially hinder the bidder's successful operation of the program?		`	
3.	Did the bidder propose to subcontract any functions that the evaluator believes are integral to successful program operation and should not be subcontracted?	7		

CF1515 CENTER DESCH IN AZ?

7A.4.4 Financial Information	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
1. Did the Bidder provide the following information: • audited financial statements from independent auditors for the last three years. If the bidders did not have financial statements, did it provide a detailed explanation of why they are not available and provide alternatives that were acceptable to the Departments? • a minimum of three written financial references including contract statements?	not required as public traded entity indepo
2. Do the financial statements of alternative financial information demonstrate that the bidder has the financial wherewithal to serve as a stable partner to the state?	Yes
3. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information raise any concerns about the bidder's qualifications to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?	NO
4. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has conducted its financial business in an appropriate manner and is qualified, based on its financial practices and financial status alone, to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?	

	()	(
Bidder Name:	Center	4:00
Two	1	

7A.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative - 10% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 3 pages. Does it exceed? Y/N? Specific Specific

7A	,5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
1.	Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the Medicaid capitation payment allocated to the Medicaid Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 13.5%?	13.590
2.	Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the IDPH payment allocated to the IDPH Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 3.5%?	3.59
3.	 Does the bidder propose using the Community Reinvestment Account fund on: services that would benefit eligible persons? services that the bidder has identified in response to 7A.2.6.b), 7A.2.13.b), or other questions within Section 7 of the RFP? (this question is to assess internal consistency within the bidder's response) 	P. 170 senter certification Consistent reduce Stigma

transistion CSP in and ye to block peryment
retain fee for service for non CSP's P. 168
Financial impact providers deliver most appropriate
Serice for consumer stase of recovery
decrease higher level of care cost 191-390

NO Recovery under
Modicai defundados

i e under miti

7A.6 Required Certifications	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Does the bidder include all the required certifications? (Y/N) RFP Certifications and Mandatory Guarantee Release of Information Mandatory Requirements and Reasons for Disqualification 	Yes